SUPREME COURT

2019 APR 18 AM 11: 47

NOITAN OLAVAN

Shorall McGoldrick Brinkmann 702 north beaver street flagstaff, az 86001

928.779.1050

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

928.779.6252 (fax) howardbrown@smbattorneys.com tomshorall@smbattorneys.com jasonboblick@smbattorneys.com

5 Howard L. Brown, #019689

Tom Shorall, Jr., Ariz. Bar #010456 (pro hac vice) Jason J. Boblick, Ariz. Bar #026507 (pro hac vice) Attorneys for Defendant Felix

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE NAVAJO NATION

NAVAJO NATION,

Plaintiff,

VS.

PIC-N-RUN, INC, et al.

Defendants.

PIC-N-RUN, et al.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MILAM BUILDING ASSOCIATES, INC., et al.

Defendants.

BALDWIN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

25 26

SC-CV-0719 No. CH-CV-166-13

No. CH-CV-359-07

No. CH-CV-333-09

Defendants Felixes' Amended Response to Defendant Ohio Casualty's Petition for a Writ **Dismissing Ohio Casualty** 

AUTO OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

Pursuant to the Navajo Nation Supreme Court's Alternative Writ, dated March 13, 2019, Defendants and Real-Parties-in-Interest Daniel and Dorothy Felix dba Shiprock Concrete (hereinafter, "Shiprock") amend their response to Co-Defendant Ohio Casualty Insurance Company's Petition for Writ of Prohibition ("Petition") to include the correct Supreme Court case number. The Felixes are Ohio Casualty's insureds. At a minimum, the Navajo Nation Supreme Court should uphold the trial court's finding in October 2011 that Ohio Casualty—on behalf of the Felixes—has paid at least \$928,111.48 toward remediation and clean-up costs.

#### T. **Background**

This litigation revolves around the occurrence of multiple gas spills at the Site some of which date back at least to the 1970s. On or about July 12, 2004, Plaintiff Pic-N-Run entered into an agreement with Defendant Milam Building Associates (hereinafter, "Milam") to renovate the Site. On or about December 24, 2004, Milam hired Shiprock as a subcontractor to pour concrete.2 Shiprock purchased a \$1 million commercial general liability policy from Ohio Casualty.3 It is alleged that on or about March 21, 2005, Shiprock drove a concrete stake through a fuel supply line causing a gas leak at the Pic-N-Run gas station in Chinle (the "Site").4 Thereafter, this lawsuit

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Order Denying Ohio Casualty's Motion for Summary Judgment; Order Denying Felixes' Motion for Summary Judgment, dated October 28, 2011 ("2011 Order"), attached as Exhibit A, at pp. 1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Ohio Casualty Group Declaration Page, attached as Exhibit B. <sup>4</sup> See Exhibit A.

ensued. On October 28, 2011, the trial court entered an order denying Ohio Casualty's and the Felixes' motion for summary judgment but noted that "since it is not disputed that \$928,111.48 was spent on remediation and cleanup costs, Ohio has almost fulfilled their duty [to pay \$1,000,000 toward remediation] as claimed by the Plaintiff." On September 10, 2018, the trial court—in response to what effectively amounted to a horizontal appeal—again considered the issue of Ohio Casualty's site remediation payments but this time ruled that a question of fact remained regarding whether Ohio Casualty's expenditures were strictly for indemnity.

# II. If this Court finds that Defendant Ohio Casualty has paid \$1 million toward site remediation, the Felixes' have done the same.

Ohio Casualty alleges that it has committed its entire policy limits toward investigation and remediation of the Site. It has submitted an abundance of proof in support of this contention. If this Court agrees that Ohio Casualty has expended \$1 million—its policy limits—toward remediation at the Site, the Felixes have by proxy also contributed \$1 million toward the remediation of the Site. But at a minimum, the 2011 Order makes clear that Ohio Casualty and the Felixes have at least paid \$928,111.48 to remediate the Site.

Nályééh should apply to the Felixes for many of the same reasons as those asserted by Ohio Casualty. The Felixes purchase of the applicable insurance from Ohio Casualty, in part, assured that they would be able to comply with the principles of nályééh. By purchasing the insurance, the Felixes ensured that they would be able to "make right for an injury" allegedly caused by them or their company. See Bennally v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Exhibit A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Order Granting Plaintiff Navajo Nation's Motion for Summary Judgment in Part, dated September 10, 2018, attached as Exhibit C.

Big A Well Service Co., NO-SC-CV-27-99, slip op. at 5 (Nav. Sup. Ct. 2000) (internal citations omitted). Had the Felixes not purchased the requisite insurance, the remediation work performed at Ohio Casualty's expense would not have occurred. Indeed, one of the purposes of obtaining insurance is to ensure that the insured can "make right for an injury." Insurance proceeds can be used to fulfill a party's nályééh obligations. See Benalli v. First National Insurance Co. of America, 2 Nav.App.Rep. 595 (Nav. Sup. Ct. 1998). By making payments toward remediation of the Site through payments made by their insurer, Ohio Casualty, the Felixes' have done the right thing and restored harmony with respect to their conduct within the meaning of Navajo law. All of the parties as well as the Navajo Nation have benefitted from the Felixes' payment of at least \$928,111.48 toward remediating the gas spills at the Site, including pre-2005 gas spills for which the Felixes could not possibly be responsible.

# III. The Navajo Nation Department of Justice's improper horizontal appeal should be disregarded.

As Ohio Casualty notes in its Petition, the Navajo Nation Supreme Court strongly discourages horizontal appeals. See Lee v. 27 Tallman, No. SC-CV-02-95, ¶43 (Navajo 11/27/1996); see also Powell—Cerkoney v. TCR—Montana Ranch Joint Venture, II, 176 Ariz. 275, 278—79, 860 P.2d 1328, 1331—32 (App. 1993) ("A party seeks a "horizontal appeal" when it requests a second trial judge to reconsider the decision of the first trial judge in the same matter, even though no new circumstances have arisen in the interim and no other reason justifies reconsideration."). Indeed, Navajo common law disfavors second-guessing a decision maker. Id. Other jurisdictions, like Arizona, also frown upon such second-guessing and wasting of judicial resources. See Powell—Cerkoney 176 Ariz. at 278—79, 860 P.2d at 1331—32 ("We criticize horizontal appeals because they waste

5

6

1

2

3

4

7 8

10

9

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

111

21

23

24

25 26 judicial resources by asking two judges to consider identical motions and because they encourage 'judge shopping.""). However, a court may consider a horizontal appeal "when an error in the first decision renders it manifestly erroneous or unjust" or new overarching circumstances have developed. Id.

None of the factors that might permit a horizontal appeal is present here. First, there was no error in the first decision that renders it "manifestly erroneous or unjust." In its October 2011 Order, the trial court found that Ohio Casualty—and thereby, the Felixes-had contributed \$928,111.48 toward remediation and clean-up costs as of 2011.7 Thus, the only potential expenditures at issue are the remaining funds of \$71,888.52 needed to be spent by Ohio Casualty—and thereby, the Felixes—toward remediation to get to \$1,000,000 in remediation payments.

Moreover, there has been no other change in circumstances. The facts have not changed. The relevant law has not changed. Consequently, the trial court's ruling in the 2011 order that Ohio Casualty spent \$928,111.48 on remediation and cleanup costs should not be changed.

#### IV. Conclusion

For all the foregoing reasons, the Navajo Nation Supreme Court should uphold the trial court's finding in October 2011 that Ohio Casualty—on behalf of the Felixes has paid at least \$928,111.48 toward remediation and clean-up costs.

<sup>7</sup> See Exhibit A.

DATED this 16th day of April, 2019.

### SHORALL McGOLDRICK BRINKMANN

Howard L. Brown
Tom Shorall, Jr. (pro hac vice)
Jason J. Boblick (pro hac vice)
Attorneys for Defendant Felix

ORIGINAL and four (4) COPIES of the foregoing Filed/mailed this 16<sup>TH</sup> day of April, 2019, to: Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the Navajo Nation P.O. Box 520 WindowRock, Navajo Nation, AZ 86515 COPIES of the foregoing mailed this 11th day of April, 2019, to: Clerk of Court Navajo Nation District Court Chinle Judicial District Post Office Box 547 Chinle, Arizona 86503 The Honorable Rudy Bedonie DISTRICT COURT OF THE NAVAJO NATION Post Office Box 547 Chinle, Arizona 86503-0547 Additional COPIES of the foregoing e-mailed this 16th day of April, 2019, to: Harrison Tsosie, Esq., Attorney General Paul Spruhan, Esq., Assistant Attorney General Katy Grounds, Esq., Attorney Navajo Nation Department of Justice Post Office Drawer 2010 Window Rock, AZ 86515-2010 dmartin@nndoj.org Attorneys for Plaintiff Navajo Nation Barry Klopfer, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF BARRY KLOPFER, P.C. 224 West Coal Avenue Gallup, New Mexico 87301 Barry@KlopferLaw.com melissa@klopferlaw.com Attorneys for Employers Mutual Casualty Insurance Co.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Michael J. Raymond 1 Raymond, Greer & Sassaman, P.C. 7373 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite D-210 2 Scottsdale, AZ 85253 mjr@rgslaw.net 3 Pro Hac Vice for Employers Mutual Casualty Insurance Co. 5 Samantha B. Kelty, Esq. 6 HUFFORD, HORSTMAN, MONGINI, PARNELL & TUCKER, P.C. 120 North Beaver Street 7 Post Office Box B Flagstaff, Arizona 86001 8 SBK@h2m2law.com 9 info@h2m2law.com Attorneys for Pic-N-Run, Inc. 10 David J. Armstrong, Esq. 11 Craig C. Hoffman, Esq. 12 BALLARD SPAHR, L.L.P. 1 East Washington Street, Suite 2300 13 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2555 ArmstrongD@BallardSpahr.com 14 HoffmanC@BallardSpahr.com 15 Attorneys for Pic-N-Run, Inc. 16 Sampson Martinez, Esq. SAMPSON MARTINEZ, P.C. 17 Post Office Box 2415 18 Gallup, New Mexico 87305-2415 (205 S. Second St., Gallup, NM 87301-6221) 19 SamAtLaw@gwestoffice.net Attorneys for Estate of Sybil Baldwin and Walter Baldwin 20 21 John J. Trebon, Esq. JOHN TREBON, P.C. 22 308 North Agassiz Street Flagstaff, Arizona 86001 23 JTrebonLaw@gmail.com 24 Attorneys for Spencer Reidel 25

Troy A. Eid, Esq. 1 Harriet A. McConnell, Esq. GREENBERG TRAURIG, L.L.P 1200 17th Street, Suite 2400 3 Denver, Colorado 80202 eidt@gtlaw.com 4 mcconnellh@gtlaw.com Attorneys for AMCO Insurance Company 5 6 Kevin C. Barrett, Esq. Graif Barrett & Matura, P.C. 7 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 500 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 8 KBarrett@GBMlawpc.com Attorneys for Allianz and Auto-Owners Insurance Company 9 10 James E. Ledbetter, Esq. LEDBETTER LAW FIRM, P.L.C. 1003 North Main Street Cottonwood, Arizona 86326 JLedbetter@ledbetter-law.com Ctsakiris@ledbetterlawaz.com PPrekup@LedbetterLawAz.com Attorneys for Ohio Casualty Insurance Company Steven Plitt, Esq. Daniel Maldonado, Esq. KUNZ, PLITT, HYKAND, DEMLONG & KLEIFIELD 17 3838 North Central Avenue, Suite 1500 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 splitt@cavanaghlaw.com Attorneys for Ohio Casualty Insurance Company 20 Kenneth H. Brendel, Esq. MANGUM, WALL, STOOPS & WARDEN, P.L.L.C. 100 North Elden Street 22 Post Office Box 10 Flagstaff, Arizona 86002-0010 KBrendel@MWSWlaw.com Attorneys for Zurich American Insurance Company

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

23

24

25

Michael P. Upshaw, Esq. 1 MARGRAVE CELMINS, P.C. 8171 East Indian Bend Road, Suite 101 Scottsdale, Arizona 85250 mupshaw@mclawfirm.com 3 Attorneys for Mila Building Associates, Inc. and Stella and Vernon Eldridge 4 Dean R. Cox, Esq. 5 107 North Cortez, Suite 201 Prescott, Arizona 86301 6 dean@deanrcox.com 7 Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Milam Building Associates, Inc. and Stella and Vernon *Eldridge* 8 9 Erin E. Byrnes Udall Law Firm, LLP 10 2198 E. Camelback Road, Suite 375 Phoenix, AZ 85016 11 ebvrnes@udalllaw.com 12 erin@storeylawyers.com Attorneys for Defendant Auto-Owners Insurance Co. 13 Keith C. Smith 14 Smith, Shellenberger & Salazar, LLC 15 14694 Orchard Parkway, Suite A-210 Westminster, Colorado 80023 16 kcs@ssr-lawvers.com Counsel for Liberty Mutual 17 18 Dominica C. Anderson Duane Morris LLP 19 Spear Tower One Markey Plaza, Suite 2200 20 San Francisco, CA 94105-1127 21 dcanderson@duanemorris.com tehafen@duanemorris.com 22 Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Colorado Casualty Insurance Company 23 24 25